<u>A preliminary investigationCan of whether HRCA signals can</u> differentiate between swallows from healthy people and swallows from people with neurodegenerative diseases?\_-

Cara Donohue, MA CCC-SLP<sup>1</sup>, Yassin Khalifa, MS<sup>2</sup>, Subashan Perera, PhD<sup>3</sup>, Ervin Sejdić, PhD<sup>2,4</sup>, James L. Coyle, PhD<sup>1</sup>

1Department of Communication Science and Disorders, School of Health and Rehabilitation Sciences, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA 2Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Swanson School of Engineering, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA 3Division of Geriatrics, Department of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, PA 15261, USA 4Department of Bioengineering, Swanson School of Engineering, Department of Biomedical Informatics, School of Medicine Intelligent Systems Program, School of Computing and Information, University of Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA

Reprint address:

Dr. James L. Coyle Department of Communication Science and Disorders School of Health and Rehabilitation Sciences 6035 Forbes Tower University of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh, PA 15260

E-mail: jcoyle@pitt.edu Phone number: (412)-383-6608

Acknowledgements:

Funding:

Research reported in this publication was supported by the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health & Human Development of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number R01HD092239, while the data was collected under Award Number R01HD074819. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health or National Science Foundation.

People: Thanks are due to Tara Smyth, BA, and Dan Kachnycz, BA, for assistance with data collection and coding.

1		
2		
4		
5		
6		
7		
9	1	
10		
11 1	Abstract:	
$\frac{12}{12}$ 2	High resolution cervical auscultation (HRCA) is an emerging method for non-invasively assessing	
$13 \\ 14 3$	swallowing by using acoustic signals from a contact microphone, vibratory signals from an accelerometer, and	
15	advanced signal expression and marking languing tacksings IIIICA has differentiated between soft and unseful	
16 4	auvanced signal processing and machine learning techniques. FINCA has unterentiated between sale and unsale	
1/5 18	swallows, predicted components of the Modified Barium Swallow Impairment Profile, and predicted kinematic events	
$19^{10}$ 6	of swallowing such as hyoid bone displacement, laryngeal vestibular closure, and upper esophageal sphincter opening	
20 7	with a high degree of accuracy. However, HRCA has not been used to characterize swallow function in specific patient	
21 22 <sup>8</sup>	populations. This study investigated the ability of HRCA to differentiate between swallows from healthy people and	
23 g	people with neurodegenerative diseases. We hypothesized that HRCA would differentiate between swallows from	
24 25 10	healthy people and people with neurodegenerative diseases with a high degree of accuracy. We analyzed 170 swallows	
26 <sub>11</sub>	from 20 patients with neurodegenerative diseases and 170 swallows from 51 healthy age-matched adults who	
27 28 12	underwent concurrent videofluoroscopy with non-invasive neck sensors. We used a linear mixed model and an	
<sup>29</sup> 13	SVMseveral supervised machine learning classifiers that uses HRCA signal features and a leave-one-out procedure to	
30 31 14	differentiate between swallows. Eleven-Twenty-two HRCA signal features were statistically significant (p<0.05) for	
32	predicting whether swallows were from healthy people or from patients with neurodegenerative diseases. Using the	
33 34 16	HRCA signal features alone, our algorithmlogistic regression and decision trees classified swallows between the two	
35	groups with 94.7299% accuracy, 10094.71% sensitivity, and 994.74% specificity. This provides preliminary research	
36 37 18	evidence that HRCA can differentiate swallow function between healthy and patient populations.	
38 20 19		
40 <b>20</b>	Key words: dysphagia, videofluoroscopy, machine learning, cervical auscultation, swallow screening, deglutition,	
41 4221	deglutition disorders	
43 22		
44		
45		
46 47		
48		
49		
50		
51		
52 53		
54		
55		
56	1	
57		
58 59		
60		
61		
62		
63		
64 65		
~ ~		

	2
	2
	3
	4
	5
	с с
	0
	7
	8
	q
-	2
T	0
1	1
1	2
1	2
Τ	3
1	4
1	5
1	c
Т	ю
1	7
1	8
1	a
1	1 2
2	0
2	1
2	ົ
2	4
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	5
2	6
2	7
2	Q
2	0
2	9
3	0
2	1
2	t.
3	2
3	3
2	Λ
5	-
3	5
3	б
2	7
2	<i>′</i>
3	8
3	9
4	Ο
1	1
4	
	Τ.
4	1 2
4 4	23
4 4	1 2 3
4 4 4	12 3 4
4 4 4 4	1234 <b>5</b>
4 4 4 4	123456
4 4 4 4 4	1234567
4 4 4 4 4	1234567
4 4 4 4 4 4	12345678
4     4	123456789
4444444 5	1234567890
4444445 	1234567890
44444455	12345678901
444444555	123456789012
4444445555	1234567890123
444444455555	1234567890123
4444444555555	12345678901234
44444445555555	123456789012345
444444455555555555555555555555555555555	1234567890123456
444444455555555555555555555555555555555	12345678901234567
444444455555555555555555555555555555555	12345678901234567
444444455555555555555555555555555555555	123456789012345678
444444445555555555555555555555555555555	1234567890123456789
444444455555555555555555	12345678901234567890
4444444555555555566	12345678901234567890
444444445555555555666	123456789012345678901
44444444555555555556666	1234567890123456789012
44444444555555555556666	12345678901234567890122
444444445555555555566666	12345678901234567890123
44444445555555555666666	123456789012345678901234

## 1 Introduction:

1

2 Accurately and non-invasively assessing swallow function is vital within the clinical setting in order to correctly 3 identify patients with dysphagia who are at risk of aspiration and complications that arise secondary to aspiration 4 such as aspiration pneumonia, malnutrition, and dehydration. Current clinical dysphagia screening methods have a 5 high degree of sensitivity and a poor degree of specificity, which results in over-identification of people with 6 dysphagia [1-3]. This is because dysphagia screening protocols rely on subjective human judgment of risk factors 7 and observing patients drink a limited amount of liquid and by their nature, do not measure any aspects of swallow 8 physiology. There is also a risk of false negatives with current dysphagia screening methods due to the 9 asymptomatic nature of silent aspiration. Poor specificity of dysphagia screening methods results in misuse of time 10 and resources with unnecessary, expensive procedures for patients such as undergoing videofluoroscopy, which 11 remains one of the gold standards for assessing swallowing physiology. While videofluoroscopic swallow studies 12 (VFSSs) are useful for characterizing swallow function, for many patients they are not always feasible or available 13 in a time frame that enables rapid diagnostic assessment, leaving clinicians to temporarily manage cases as best they 14 can with available clinical information. Therefore, there is a high demand to increase accessibility to dysphagia 15 assessment for underserved patients for the development of non-invasive methods for accurately screening and 16 assessing swallowing that might also provide insight into underlying swallowing physiology. 17 High resolution cervical auscultation (HRCA) is an emerging method for non-invasively screening several aspects 18 of swallow function that has demonstrated promising preliminary evidence of its effectiveness [4]. HRCA combines 19 the use of acoustic signals from a contact microphone, vibratory signals from a tri-axial accelerometer, and signal 20 processing and machine learning techniques to effectively characterize swallow function. Non-invasive neck sensors 21 are placed on the anterior laryngeal framework at the cricoid cartilage to record signals that occur during 22 swallowing. To this date, our database consists of concurrent VFSS and HRCA recordings from 274 patients with 23 suspected dysphagia and 70 community dwelling healthy adults. We are analyzing the data in our database in a 24 systematic way (e.g. one temporal swallow kinematic event at a time, one patient population at a time) to evaluate 25 the potential of HRCA as an effective dysphagia screening method. HRCA signals combined with signal processing 26 and machine learning techniques have demonstrated the ability to automatically detect swallowing events with 27 similar accuracy to trained human judges, and to effectively differentiate between safe and unsafe swallows by 28 approximating VFSS judgments made using the penetration-aspiration scale [4-10]. We are examining the

association between HRCA signals and scores of physiological components on the Modified Barium Swallow Impairment Profile (MBSImP) and are finding promising levels of agreement in patients with suspected dysphagia.-Results have revealed statistically significant associations between HRCA signals and anterior hyoid bone movement (component #9), pharyngoesophageal segment opening (component #14), and pharyngeal residue (component #16) [12-14]. In addition to this, we have found a strong association between HRCA signal features and hyoid bone displacement [15-17]. A recent study examining hyoid bone displacement found that  $\geq$ 50% of the body of the hyoid bone could be accurately tracked on each frame using HRCA signals and machine learning techniques alone in healthy community dwelling adults and patients with suspected dysphagia [18]. HRCA signals combined with machine learning techniques have demonstrated effectiveness in detecting other kinematic swallowing events including laryngeal vestibular closure and upper esophageal sphincter (UES) opening with a high degree of accuracy in healthy community dwelling adults and patients with suspected dysphagia-[19-21]. While HRCA has been used to detect penetration and aspiration, clinical ratings of physiological events of swallowing using the MBSImP, and various kinematic events of swallowing, it has not previously been used to characterize swallow function in specific patient populations. Patients with neurodegenerative diseases often experience progressive dysphagia along with other physical mobility impairments, which greatly impacts their quality of life\_[22-27]. Dysphagia in patients with neurodegenerative diseases is frequently characterized by impaired bolus preparation and propulsion, impaired mastication, reduced oral containment, oral residue, impaired tongue movement, impaired pharyngeal timing/coordination, pharyngeal residue, and penetration/aspiration [28]. While VFSSs remain the primary method for assessing swallow function in patients with neurodegenerative diseases, there are limitations to implementing instrumental swallow evaluations in patients with progressive, degenerative diseases [28-29]. Because of their multifactorial health problems. - and physical mobility impairments, and transportation issues it can be challenging for patients with neurodegenerative diseases to undergo VFSSs as outpatients at medical facilities. In addition to this, patients with neurodegenerative diseases are at increased risk of fatigue over the course of a meal and may have fluctuating swallow function day-to-day, which is a challenge to capture during short instrumental swallow evaluations [29]. Moreover, because of the progressive nature of neurodegenerative diseases, it is advantageous to monitor swallow function more closely over time in order to predict and mitigate adverse events that may occur secondary to progressing dysphagia such as aspiration pneumonia. Completing frequent instrumental swallow evaluations such as VFSSs or fiberoptic endoscopic

1 evaluation of swallowing (FEES) to monitor swallowing throughout disease progression is costly, burden some to 2 patients and caregivers, and relatively invasive (e.g. exposure to radiation, uncomfortable) [29]. Amongst other 3 patient populations, people with neurodegenerative diseases would benefit from a non-invasive, inexpensive, easily 4 transportable device to infer about swallow function using noninvasive methods such as HRCA because of the high 5 prevalence of dysphagia and the variety of kinematic changes in swallow function that occur throughout disease 6 progression. Therefore, this study investigated the ability of HRCA to broadly differentiate (i.e., screen) between swallows from healthy people and people with neurodegenerative diseases. We hypothesized that HRCA would accurately differentiate these two classes of swallows by identifying significant differences in vibratory and acoustic signal features between swallows from healthy people and from people with in a single class of "people with neurodegenerative diseases." Methods: Equipment and Procedures: This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at the University of Pittsburgh and all participants provided informed written consent. Data analysis for this study was conducted on two separate sets of data that were collected at two different timepoints in a similar fashion. The first data set consisted of 170 thin liquid swallows from 20 patients with various neurodegenerative diseases between the ages of 35-82 with a mean age of 61.25 (10 males). Diagnoses of neurodegenerative diseases included Parkinson's disease (PD), myasthenia gravis, motoneuron disease, multiple sclerosis (MS), muscular dystrophy (MD), amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), myotonic dystrophy, and progressive muscle weakness not otherwise specified. All patients underwent VFSSs at the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center Presbyterian hospital due to suspected dysphagia. Patients were imaged in the lateral plane. VFSSs on patients were completed as a part of their clinical care rather than for research purposes alone. For this reason, patients were examined under a variety of bolus volumes and consistencies and asked to perform compensatory maneuvers (i.e. chin tuck) as deemed appropriate based on clinical presentation of dysphagia. See Table 1 for the bolus characteristics for all swallows included in data analysis from the patient data for this study.

The second data set consisted of 171 thin liquid swallows from 51 healthy community dwelling adults between the
ages of 39-87 with a mean age of 67.21 (22 males). Inclusionary criteria for healthy community dwelling adults
included no prior history of swallowing difficulties, neurological disorder, surgery to the head or neck region, or

4

1

chance of being pregnant based on participant report. For healthy participants, data collection also occurred in the same institution under a separate IRB approval. Participants were imaged in the lateral plane. In contrast to the patients with neurodegenerative diseases, the healthy community dwelling adults underwent a standardized (i.e., five 3mL boluses by spoon and five unmeasured self-selected "comfortable" cup sips in head neutral position) and short (average fluoro time of 0.66 minutes) VFSS procedure of ten thin liquid boluses administered in random order to minimize radiation exposure. For spoon presentations, the researcher instructed participants to "Hold the liquid in your mouth until I tell you to swallow it." For cup presentations, the researcher instructed participants to "Take a comfortable sip of liquid and swallow it whenever you're ready." See Table 2 for the bolus characteristics for all swallows included in data analysis from the healthy community dwelling adults for this study. For the purposes of this study and to effectively compare between groups, only thin liquid swallows administered by cup and spoon were included for data analysis, because only thin liquid swallows were collected from the healthy community dwelling adults.

A standard fluoroscopy system (Ultimax system, Toshiba, Tustin, CA for the patient data collection; and 31 14 Precision 500D system, GE Healthcare, LLC, Waukesha, WI for the healthy community dwelling adult data 32 33<sup>15</sup> collection) set at a continuous pulse rate of 30 PPS was used to obtain swallowing video segments. To capture the 34 16 raw videos directly from the x-ray apparatus at a rate of 60 or 73 frames per second, we used a frame grabber 35 36 17 module (AccuStream Express HD, Foresight Imaging, Chelmsford, MA). Once data collection was complete and 37 18 prior to conducting kinematic analysis of swallowing, the videos were down sampled from 60 or 73 frames per 39<sup>19</sup> second to 30 frames per second to get rid of the duplicate frames that were inserted into the videos due to the 40 20 oversampling in the frame grabber necessary to align with the higher sampling rate of the signals acquisition system. 42<sup>21</sup> This step produced accurate 30FPS videos for analysis. To obtain HRCA signals during concurrent VFSS, a tri-43 22 axial accelerometer (ADXL 327, Analog Devices, Norwood, Massachusetts) and contact microphone were placed 45 **2**3 on the anterior laryngeal framework at the level of the cricoid cartilage with tape. Prior to placing the non-invasive <sup>46</sup> 24 neck sensors on the anterior neck region of participants, researchers cleaned participants with alcohol pads. To 48 25 ensure adequate signals were obtained from the sensors, the accelerometer and contact microphone were placed in 49 50 26 custom casings to allow for flat contact surfaces with the skin. The accelerometer was placed at midline at the 5127 cricoid arch and the contact microphone was placed at the right of midline and inferior to the accelerometer in order <sup>52</sup> 28 to obtain the best x-ray images and signals and so as not to interfere with imaging of the upper airway. For each

> participant, we aligned the axes of the tri-axial accelerometer (anterior-posterior, superior-inferior, and mediallateral) with the participant's neck. The exact placement of the non-invasive neck sensors can be viewed in Figure 1 [4, 30]. The accelerometer was powered by a power supply with a 3V output (model 1504, BK Precision, Yorba Linda, California). Following data collection with the accelerometer, the raw signals were bandpass filtered (model P55, Grass Technologies, Warwick, Rhode Island) from 0.1 to 3000 Hz and amplified ten times. Then, the signal data from each accelerometer axis was entered into a data acquisition device (National Instruments 6210 DAQ) to be recorded at a sampling rate of 20kHz using the Signal Express program within LabView (National Instruments, Austin, Texas). To overcome measurement errors and because multiple kinematic events occur simultaneously during swallowing, the signals were down sampled into 4kHz prior to analysis. Kinematic swallow analyses: Before performing swallow segmentation, raters were trained and tested in swallow kinematic analyses. Intra and inter-rater reliability was assessed with intra-class correlation coefficients (ICCs) [31] with ICCs greater than 0.99 for both measures. VFSSs were segmented into individual swallows for analyses. The onset of the swallow was defined as the frame in which the bolus head passed the shadow of the ramus of the mandible, and the offset of the swallow was defined as the frame in which the hyoid returned to its lowest position after clearance of the bolus tail through the UES. Ongoing intra-rater reliability during swallow segmentation was completed to control for drift by having raters randomly select one out of ten swallows to re-analyze and compute ICCs. Inter-rater reliability for swallow segmentation was performed on 10% of swallows with ICCs of 0.99 or above for all trained raters. Since the purpose of this study was merely to determine whether there was a difference in HRCA signal features between swallows from healthy people and swallows from patients with neurodegenerative diseases, no swallow kinematic analyses were performed aside from swallow segmentation. Pre-Processing and feature extraction from HRCA signals: In order to reduce the multi-source noise associated with the vibratory and acoustic signals of HRCA, each component was filtered to remove the device noise. These filters were designed based on the output of each sensor when no input was present using an auto-regressive model. Head movement interference was removed using a fourth order splines approximation algorithm [3222-3323]. Any additional noise component that existed was removed using wavelet denoising. This preprocessing procedure has previously demonstrated its effectiveness in

many studies that investigated the use of HRCA signals in swallow kinematic analysis [15,17,21,3424]. Features

that have proven to be significant to swallow kinematics and swallowing disorders based on previous research

6

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

studies [15,17,21,34] were then extracted from the HRCA signals in order to determine the association between HRCA signals and the diagnostic class (i.e., neurodegenerative disease) of the patient. A summary of the features used and the definition of each appears in Table 3. Data Analysis: We fit a series of linear mixed models to examine the association between 36 different HRCA signal features, swallows from healthy people, and swallows from people with neurodegenerative diseases. Support vector machine (SVM), Naïve Bayes, logistic regression, and decision tree classifiers, which represent supervised machine learning techniques, were constructed to differentiate between swallows from patients with neurodegenerative diseases and swallows from healthy subjects based on either the entire set of features extracted from the HRCA signals or a subset that was proven statistically significant based on the results of the linear mixed models or a feature selection method. This yielded three training procedures for the used classifiers, the first procedure was performed through using the entire set of features extracted from HRCA signals (36 features) and the second procedure used only the set of features that was proven significant by the statistical analysis (22 features). The third procedure included training the classifiers after performing a principal component analysis (PCA) on the features which represent a feature selection method that only keeps the statistically independent features. SPSS (IBM, Armonk, NY) was used for fitting the linear models while MATLAB (The MathWorks, Inc., Natick, MA) and R (The R Foundation) were used to build and evaluate the classifiers. The performance of each classifier was evaluated through a leave -one-out procedure. A support vector machine (SVM) classifier, which is a supervised machine learning technique, was constructed to differentiate between swallows from patients with neurodegenerative diseases and swallows from healthy subjects based on the 36 features extracted from the HRCA signals. The performance of the classifier was evaluated through a leave-one-out procedure. This procedure involves training the classifier with the whole set of swallows from both groups except for one swallow that is selected randomly to test if it is classified correctly and then the process is repeated until all swallows are included as a testing sample at least once. To determine whether the swallow is classified correctly, the labels from VFSS images are used as the "ground truth." The accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity of classification between healthy and neurodegenerative disease swallows were calculated based on the number of correctly classified swallows during the evaluation process with respect to the complete set of swallows from both groups. **Results:** 

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

13	(See Table 5).
1 14	Using the HRCA signal features alone, our SVM algorithm classified swallows between the two groups with
15	94.72% accuracy, 94.71% sensitivity, and 94.74% specificity.
1 4 16	Discussion:
5 5 17	This study is the first study to date that has used HRCA to differentiate between healthy swallows and swallows
18	from people in a category of underlying disease that commonly results in dysphagia. Since this is the first study to
19	explore this, it will be important to replicate this study with a larger sample of people with neurodegenerative
20	diseases and with additional patient populationsWe found that HRCA combined with statistical methods and
21	machine learning techniques could differentiate between healthy swallows from healthy people and swallows from
3 22	people with a variety of neurodegenerative diseases effectively with a high degree of accuracy. While the results do
± 5 23	not characterize the nature of swallowing physiology that differed between the two groups, we accomplished our
5 24	intended aim of providing a screening-level differentiation between "normal" and "neurodegenerative disease"
, 3 25	swallows. While these preliminary results are promising, they do not by any means provide discrete
9 26	diagnostic/physiologic information, therefore it will be important to expand this work to gain insight into the
1 <b>27</b>	underlying swallowing physiology that may contribute to statistically significant signal features between these two
2 2 28	groups. However, the importance of identifying and differentiating a class of swallows that is distinctly different
4	
5	

4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 1 from "normal" swallows cannot be overstated, given the typical pattern of subclinical signs and symptoms during 12 2 the early progression of neurodegenerative diseases, and the fact that many such patients are not identified before 13 14 3 clinically important dysphagia ensues. Characterizing the safety and efficiency of swallow function in patients with 15 4 neurodegenerative diseases is important but challenging due to the multiple and heterogeneous disease-related 16 17 5 factors which contribute to dysphagia, including weakness, spasticity, rigidity, motor unit deactivation, and atrophy 18 19 6 of muscles secondary to motoneuron deterioration. Due to the heterogeneity and progressive nature of 20 7 neurodegenerative diseases, there is a need for an individualized approach to dysphagia management with close 21 22 8 monitoring of swallow function over time to maximize quality of life and to prevent adverse outcomes that can و 23 result in faster disease progression. A readily deployable and portable device using HRCA, which can non-24 25 10 invasively monitor and classify swallow function as disordered or not disordered within the variety of clinical 26 27 11 settings occupied by these patients, and even in the home would be beneficial toward a goal of early identification 28 12 and referral for many patients with neurodegenerative diseases. Future studies should examine the ability of HRCA to characterize and distinguish healthy swallows from healthy people and swallows from specific neurodegenerative diseases (e.g., ALS only) as well as other patient populations that have dysphagia, and the ability of HRCA to characterize swallows between various patient populations that 34 16 have dysphagia (e.g. ALS vs. patients who have had a stroke) to determine whether HRCA may have diagnostic 35 36 17 value. In addition to this, future work should refine HRCA methods to further characterize swallow function of 37 18 specific patient populations to broadly differentiate between safe and unsafe swallows, and as a potential adjunct to 38 39<sup>19</sup> dysphagia diagnostics, to quantify a variety of swallowing kinematic measurements such as hyoid bone 40 20 41 displacement and predict laryngeal vestibular closure and UES opening [4-10, 19-21, 3424]. Other areas of potential 42<sup>21</sup> interest would be examining the ability of HRCA signals to differentiate between dysphagia severity levels in <sup>43</sup> 22 specific patient populations based on swallowing safety and efficiency, as well as improvement or deterioration of 44 45 **2**3 swallowing function as a function of disease progression or treatment. The robustness of the machine learning <sup>46</sup> 24 algorithm used in this study should also be improved by including a larger variety of bolus consistencies and 47 48 25 swallow conditions in future studies. Expanding upon the current study with this future work will result in more 49 50 26 advanced and accurate non-invasive screening, and potentially, characterization of swallowing physiology across a 5127 variety of patient populations to more quickly and accurately identify and treat swallowing impairments when <sup>52</sup> 28 imaging instrumentation is temporarily unavailable or undesired by patients, or otherwise not feasible. Given 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65

1 2 3

9

7 8 9 10 11 1 clinician reliance on all available information, the addition of accurate and quantitative, noninvasively-obtained data 12 2 regarding swallow function will be a valuable adjunct to the screening process, and hopefully in the future to the 13 14 3 diagnostic process, in all but the most ideal clinical situations in which all diagnostic methods are available. 15 4 Limitations: 16 17 5 The main purpose of this study was to broadly characterize and classify swallows between two groups using HRCA 18 19 6 rather than to characterize swallow function based on bolus or swallowing conditions during VFSSs. While we 20 7 included only single thin liquid swallows for data analysis between the two groups, it is important to note that the 21 22 8 data collection methods for patients with neurodegenerative diseases were consistent with clinical care while the و 23 data collection methods for the healthy community dwelling adults were consistent with a standardized research 24 25 10 VFSS protocol. Each data collection method has strengths and limitations: methods consistent with clinical care 26 27 11 result in improved generalizability and real-world application (external validity) while methods that follow a strict 28 12 research protocol result in increased internal validity. Another limitation of this study was the heterogenous group of 29 30 13 patients with neurodegenerative diseases. Due to the small sample size of individuals with neurodegenerative 3114 diseases within our database, we included a variety of diseases within this classification category. While the 32 33<sup>15</sup> presentation and severity of dysphagia may vary across these diseases, the ability of the machine learning algorithm 34 16 to differentiate between healthy swallows and swallows from people with a variety of neurodegenerative diseases 35 36 17 with a high degree of accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity demonstrates the robustness of the machine learning 37 18 algorithm. For this study, we included a relatively large sample of swallows within each group (170). However, it 38 39<sup>19</sup> will be important to test the accuracy of this algorithm on larger data sets that consist of the same, and different 40 20 bolus textures and volumes, swallows from individual neurodegenerative diseases (e.g. ALS only), and swallows 41 42 21 from other diseases that result in dysphagia. 43 22 44 Conclusion: 45 **2**3 46 47 24 48 25 49 50 26 51 27 52 28 to characterize whether noninvasive data collected during swallows exhibit evidence of impairment when imaging is 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65

## 10

This study found that HRCA signal features combined with statistical methods and machine learning techniques could predict whether swallows were from healthy people or from patients with neurodegenerative diseases with a high degree of accuracy (994.72%), sensitivity (10094.71%), and specificity (994.74%). These results provide preliminary evidence that HRCA may be a beneficialn effective method to further explore in future studies for to determine whether it can be used to characterizing characterize swallows between different patient populations and

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

1 2		
3		
5		
6 7		
8		11
9 10		
11	1	not available or feasible. The ability to differentiate between swallows from different patient populations combined
$13^{12}$	2	with the ability in addition to being an effective method forto noninvasively differentiateing between safe and unsafe
14	3	swallows and predictting the risk of swallow kinematic events would make HRCA a useful dysphagia screening
16	4	method with future potential to be a diagnostic adjunct to instrumental swallowing evaluations.
17 18	5	
19		
20 21		
22		
23 24		
25		
26 27		
28		
30		
31 32		
33		
34 35		
36		
37 38		
39		
40 41		
42		
43 44		
45		
46 47		
48		
49 50		
51		
52 53		
54 55		
55		11
57 52		
50 59		
60 61		
62		
63 64		
65		

1		
2 3		
4		
5		
6		
7 8		
9		12
10 11	1	Compliance with Ethical Standards:
12 13	2	Funding: Research reported in this publication was supported by the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of
14	3	Child Health & Human Development of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number R01HD092239,
15 16	4	while the data was collected under Award Number R01HD074819. The content is solely the responsibility of the
17	5	authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health or National Science
18 19	6	Foundation.
20	7	
21 22	8	Conflict of interest: We have no conflicts of interest to declare.
23	9	
24 25	10	Ethical Approval: All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the
26	11	ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and
27 28	12	its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.
29	13	•
30 31	14	Informed Consent: Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study
32	15	
33	13	
34		
36		
37		
38		
39		
40 41		
42		
43		
44		
45		
46		
48		
49		
50		
51		
52		
53		
55		
56		12
57		
58		
59		
00 61		
62		
63		
64		

1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
7				
8				
9			13	
10				
11	1	Referen	nces	
⊥∠ 13	2	1.	Suiter DM, Sloggy J, Leder SB. Validation of the yale swallow protocol: A prospective double-blinded	
14	3		videofluoroscopic study. Dysphagia. 2014;29(2):199-203. doi:10.1007/s00455-013-9488-3	
15	4	2	Groves-Wright KI Boyce S. Kelchner I. Perception of wet vocal quality in identifying	
16		2.		
18	5		penetration/aspiration during swallowing. J Speech, Lang Hear Res. 2009;53(3):620-632. doi:10.1044/1092-	
19	6		4388(2009/08-0246)	
20 21	7	3.	Waito A, Bailey GL, Molfenter SM, Zoratto DC, Steele CM. Voice-quality abnormalities as a sign of	
22	8		dysphagia: Validation against acoustic and videofluoroscopic data. Dysphagia. 2011;26(2):125-134.	
23 24	9		doi:10.1007/s00455-010-9282-4	
25	10	4.	Dudik JM, Coyle JL, Sejdic E. Dysphagia Screening: Contributions of cervical auscultation signals and	
26 27	11		modern signal-processing techniques. IEEE Trans Hum Mach Syst. 2015;45(4):465-477. doi:	
28	12		10.1109/THMS.2015.2408615	
29 30	13	5.	Sejdic E, Steele CM, Chau T, Member S. Classification of penetration – aspiration versus healthy swallows	
31	14		using dual-axis swallowing accelerometry signals in dysphagic subjects. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng.	
32 33	15		2013;60(7):1859-1866. doi:10.1109/TBME.2013.22437	
34	16	6.	Dudik JM, Jestrovic I, Luan B, Coyle JL, Sejdic E. A comparative analysis of swallowing accelerometry and	
35 36	17		sounds during saliva swallows. Biomed Eng Online. 2015; 14(3):1-15. doi: 10.1186/1475-925X-14-3.	
37	18	7.	Dudik JM, Kurosu A, Coyle JL, Sejdic E. A comparative analysis of DBSCAN , K-means, and quadratic	
38 39	19		variation algorithms for automatic identification of swallows from swallowing accelerometry signals.	
40	20		Comput Biol Med. 2015;59:10-18. doi:10.1016/j.compbiomed.2015.01.007	
41 42	21	8.	Jestrovic I, Dudik JM, Luan B, Coyle JL, Sejdic E. Baseline characteristics of cervical auscultation signals	
43	22		during various head maneuvers. Comput Biol Med. 2014;43(12):2014-2020.	
44 45	23		doi:10.1016/j.compbiomed.2013.10.005	
46	24	9.	Movahedi F, Kurosu A, Coyle JL, Perera S, Sejdic E. Computer methods and programs in biomedicine: A	
48	25		comparison between swallowing sounds and vibrations in patients with dysphagia. Comput Methods	
49 50	26		Programs Biomed. 2017;144:179-187. doi:10.1016/j.cmpb.2017.03.009	
51	27	10.	Dudik JM, Coyle JL, El-Jaroudi A, Mao ZH, Sun M, Sejdić E. Deep learning for classification of normal	
52. 53	28		swallows in adults. Neurocomputing. 2018;285:1-9. doi:10.1016/j.neucom.2017.12.059	
54				
55			13	
57				
58				
59				
60				
61				
62 63				
64				

2		
3		
4 5		
6		
7		
8		14
9		14
10 11 1	11.	Martin-Harris B, Brodsky MB, Michel Y, et al. MBS measurement tool for swallow impairment-MBSimp:
12 12 <b>2</b>		Establishing a standard. Dysphagia. 2008;23(4):392-405. doi:10.1007/s00455-008-9185-9
$13 \\ 14 3$	12.	Donohue C. Zhenwei Z. Mahoney A. Perera S. Seidic F. Coyle J. Do machine ratings of hyoid bone
15		displacement during videoflueroscony, match aliniain ratings using the MRSImP2 Destar presented at. The
16 4		displacement during videoriuoroscopy match enincial faungs using the MBSIMF ? Poster presented at. The
175		American Speech-Language Hearing Association Annual Meeting; November, 2018; Boston, MA, United
$19^{6}$		States.
20 7	13.	Donohue C, Khalifa Y, Sejdic E, Coyle J. How closely do machine ratings of duration of UES during
21 22 <sup>8</sup>		videofluoroscopy approximate clinician ratings using the MBSImP? Poster presentation at: The Dysphagia
23 g		Research Society annual meeting; March, 2019; San Diego, CA, United States.
24 25 10	14.	Sabry A, Mahoney A, Perera S, Sejdic E, Coyle J. Are HRCA signal features associated with clinical ratings
<sup>26</sup> 11		of pharyngeal reidue using the MBSImP? Poster presented at: The Dysphagia Research Society Annual
27 28 <b>12</b>		Meeting; March, 2019; San Diego, CA, United States.
<sup>29</sup> 13	15.	Rebrion C, Zhang Z, Khalifa Y, et al. High-resolution cervical auscultation signal features reflect vertical
30 31 <b>1</b> 4		and horizontal displacements of the hvoid bone during swallowing. <i>IEEE J Transl Ene Heal Med.</i>
<sup>32</sup> 15		2019·7·1800109_doi:10.1109/ITEHM.2018.2881468
33 <sup></sup> 34 16	16	Zhang Z. Covle II. Seidić F. Automatic byoid hone detection in fluorosconic images using deen learning
<sup>35</sup> 17	10.	Sci Ren 2018;8(1):1-9. doi:10.1038/c41598-018-30182-6
36 <b>*</b> ′ 37 18	17	Ha O Parara S. Khalifa V. Zhang Z. Mahonay A. Sabry A. Donohua C. Coyla I. & Saidic F. Tha
38	17.	association of high resolution corvical auscultation signal features with hyoid hone displacement during
39 <sup>19</sup> 40 20		association of high-resolution cervical ausculation signal features with hybrid bone displacement during
41		Swallowing. <i>TELE Trans Neural Syst Renabil Eng.</i> 2019, 27(9):1810-1810. doi:
4221		<u>10.1109/1NSRE.2019.2935302</u>
44	18.	Mao S, Zhenwei Z, Khalifa Y, Donohue, Coyle JL, Sejdic E. Neck sensor-supported hyoid bone movement
45 23 46		tracking during swallowing. R Soc Open Sci. 2019 Jul 10; 6(7). doi.org/10.1098/rsos.181982
10 24 47	19.	Kurosu A, Coyle JL, Dudik JM, Sejdic E. Detection of swallow kinematic events from acoustic high-
48 25 4 9		resolution cervical auscultation signals in patients with stroke. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2019;100(3):501-
<sup>49</sup> 26 50		508. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2018.05.038
5127 52	20.	Sabry A, Shitong M, Mahoney A, Khalifa Y, Sejdic E, Coyle J. Automatic estimation of laryngeal vestibular
52 28 53 28		closure duration using high resolution cervical auscultation signals. Poster presented at: The American
54		
55		14
50 57		17
58		
59		
60		
6⊥ 62		
63		
64		

1 2 3				
4				
5 6				
7				
8		15		
9		15		
10		Speech Language Hearing Association Convention: November 2010: Orlando EL United States		
12 - 12		Speen-Language rearing Association Convention, November, 2017, Orlando, FE, Onned States.		
13 <sup>2</sup>	<del>1.</del>	-21. Khalifa Y, Donohue C, Coyle JL, Sejdic E. Upper esophageal sphincter opening segmentation	<b>Formatted:</b> Normal, Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 0.44", I spacing: Double, No bullets or numbering	line
14 3		with convolutional recurrent neural networks in high resolution cervical auscultation. IEEE J Biomed		
15 16 4		Inform. 2020 Jun 4. DOI: 10.1109/JBHI.2020.3000057	Field Code Changed	
17 5				
18				
19 6		22. <u>da Costa Franceschini A, Mourao LF. Dysarthria and dysphagia in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis</u>	Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 10 pt	
20 7		with spinal onset: A study of quality of life related to swallowing. <i>NeuroRehabilitation</i> . 2015 Feb 25; 36(1):	<b>Formatted:</b> Normal, Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 0.44", I spacing: Double, No bullets or numbering	line
$\frac{21}{228}$		<u>127-134.</u>		
23 9		23 Paris G. Martinaud Q. Petit A. et al. Oronharvngeal dysphagia in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis	Formattadi Fonti (Dofault) Timos Novi Boman, 10 nt	
24			Formatieu: Font. (Deraun) Times New Koman, To pi	
2510		alters quality of life. J Oral Rehabil. 2012 Dec 27; 40(3):199-204.		
$27^{20}$ 11	<u>24.</u>	Tabor L, Gaziano J, Watts S, Robison R, Plowman EK. Defining swallowing-related quality of life profiles	Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 10 pt	
28 12		in individuals with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Dysphagia. 2016 Feb 2; 31(3):376-382.		
<sup>29</sup> 13	25.	Schwartz DB. Enteral nutrition and dementia: Integrating ethics. Nutr Clin Pract. 2018; 33(3); 377-387.	Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 10 pt	
30 31 14			Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 10 pt	$\square$
32			Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 10 pt	
33 15	26.	Alali D, Ballard K, Bogaardt H. The frequency of dysphagia and its impact on adults with multiple sclerosis	Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 10 pt	
34 16		based on patient-report questionnaires. Mult Scler Relat Disord. 2018 Oct; 25:227-231. doi:	<b>Formatted:</b> Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 10 pt, N Italic	ot
35 36 17		<u>10.1016/j.msard.2018.08.003.</u>	Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 10 pt	
37 18	27.	Leow LP. Huckabee ML. Anderson T. Beckert L. The impact of dysphagia on quality of life in ageing and	Field Code Changed	
38		nothing on the Strategy of the	<b>Formatted:</b> Hyperlink, Font: (Default) Times New Rom 10 pt, Check spelling and grammar	an,
39 15		parkinson's disease as measured by the Swantowing Quarity of Life (SwAL-QOL) questionnaire.	Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 0.44"	
41 <sup>4</sup> 20		<i>Dysphagia</i> . 2010;25(3):216-220. doi:10.1007/s00455-009-9245-9	Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 10 pt	
42 21	<u>28.</u>	Waito AA, Valenzano TJ, Peladeau-pigeon M, Steele CM. Trends in research literature describing	Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 0.44", Line spac Double, Widow/Orphan control, Adjust space between L	.ng: atin
43 22		dysphagia in motor neuron Diseases (MND): A scoping review. Dysphagia. 2017;32(6):734-747.	and Asian text, Adjust space between Asian text and num	bers
44 4 <b>4</b> 23		doi:10.1007/s00455-017-9819-x	Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 10 pt	$\square$
46	20		Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 10 pt	
47 24	<u>29.</u>	Audag IN, Goudau C, Toussaint M, Reychier G. Screening and evaluation tools of dysphagia in adults with	Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 10 pt	
48 25		neuromuscular diseases: A systematic review. Ther Adv Chronic Dis. 2019;10:1-15.	Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 0.44"	$ \longrightarrow $
49 5 0 26		doi:10.1177/2040622318821622	Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 10 pt	$\dashv$
51 27	30.	Takahashi K, Groher ME, Michi K. Methodology for detecting swallowing sounds. Dysphagia, 1994;	Formatted: Font: 10 pt	$\equiv$
52				
53 <sup>28</sup>		<u>9(1):54-62. doi: 10.100//BF00262760.</u>		
54				
55 56		15		
57				
58				
59				
оU 61				
62				
63				
64				

1				
2				
4				
5				
6 7				
8				
9			16	
10 11 '	1	31	Shrout PE, Eleiss II. Intraclass correlations: uses in assessing rater reliability. <i>Psychological Bulletin</i>	<b>Exemption</b> Indept: Left: 0" Hanging: 0.44"
12	- · >	51.		Formatted, Indent, Leit. 0, Hanging, 0.44
13	2			
⊥4 : 15	3	32.	_Sejdic E, Steele CM, Chau 1. The effects of head movement on dual-axis cervical accelerometry signals.	
16 '	4		BMC Res Notes. 2010;3:269. doi:10.1186/1756-0500-3-269	Formatted: Font color: Auto
17 !	5	<u>33</u> 23.	Sejdić E, Steele CM, Chau T. A method for removal of low frequency components associated with head	
19	6		movements from dual-axis swallowing accelerometry signals. PLoS One. 2012;7(3):1-8.	
20	7		doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033464	
21 27 8	8	<u>34</u> 24.	Yu C, Khalifa Y, Sejdic E. Silent Aspiration Detection in High Resolution Cervical Auscultations. 2019	
23	9		IEEE EMBS Int Conf Biomed Heal Informatics, 2019:1-4, doi:10.1109/bhi.2019.8834576	
24	0			
25 -	4			
27	1			
28				
29 30				
31				
32				
34				
35				
36 37				
38				
39				
40 41				
42				
43				
44 45				
46				
47 48				
49				
50				
5⊥ 52				
53				
54 55				
55 56			16	
57				
58 50				
60				
61				
62 63				
64				







Figure 2: Density plot from the HRCA microphone signals showing the difference in standard deviation between the swallows from a healthy person and a person with a neurodegenerative disease.

Figure 3: Power spectral density plot from the HRCA microphone signals showing the difference in peak frequency between the swallows from a healthy person and a person with a neurodegenerative disease.



Bolus viscosity and utensil	Number of swallows	Percentage of swallows		
Thin by spoon	35	20.59%		
Thin by cup	90	52.94%		
Thin by straw	45	26.47%		
Head position	Number of swallows	Percentage of swallows		
Chin down	15	8.82%		
Head neutral	155	91.18%		
Number of Swallows	Number of swallows	Percentage of swallows		
Single	29	17.06%		
Sequential	23	13.53%		
Multiple	118	69.41%		

Table 1: Bolus characteristics for all swallows included in the neurodegenerative patient data set.

Table 2: Bolus characteristics for the swallows included in the healthy community dweller data set.

Note: Thin by spoon swallows were 3 mL and thin by cup swallows ranged from 3-40 mL.

Domain	Feature	Significance
Time Domain		
	Standard deviation	Reflect the signal variance around its mean value.
	Skewness	Describe the asymmetry of amplitude distribution around
		mean.
	Kurtosis	Describe the peakness of the distribution relative to normal
		distribution.
Information-		
Theoretic domain		
	Lempel-Ziv Complexity	Describe the randomness of the signal.
	Entropy rate	Evaluate the degree of regularity of the signal distribution.
Frequency domain		
	Peak Frequency (Hz)	Describe the frequency of maximum power.
	Spectral Centroid (Hz)	Evaluate the median of the spectrum of the signal.
	Bandwidth (Hz)	Describe the range of frequencies of the signal.
Time-Frequency Domain	Wavelet Entropy	Evaluate the disorderly behavior for non-stationary signal.

Table 3: Summary of the features extracted from the HRCA signals.

	Standard Deviation	Skewness	Kurtosis	Lempel-Ziv complexity	Entropy Rate	Peak Frequency	Spectral Centroid	Bandwidth	Wavelet entropy
Microphone	0.0005*	0.035*	0.0645	0.1248	0.6804	0.0666	0.0105*	0.0105*	0.8160
Anterior- posterior	<0.0001*	0.8560	0.2103	0.7192	0.2462	0.4258	0.0031*	0.0002*	0.0054*
Superior- inferior	<0.0001*	0.6066	0.0017*	<0.0001*	<0.0001*	0.9209	<0.0001*	<0.0001*	<0.0001*
Medial- lateral	<0.0001*	0.2223	<0.0001*	<0.0001*	0.0004*	<0.0001*	<0.0001*	<0.0001*	0.4474

Table 4: Summary of the statistically significant HRCA signal features associated with differentiating between swallows from healthy people and swallows from patients with neurodegenerative diseases.

Note: \*= p<0.05

а	bl	le
-		_
	а	abl

Classifier	Entire set of features (36 features)		Subset of features (22 most significant features – Table 4)			Feature selection (PCA)			
	Accuracy	Sensitivity	Specificity	Accuracy	Sensitivity	Specificity	Accuracy	Sensitivity	Specificity
SVM	0.94	0.94	0.94	0.91	0.90	0.92	0.94	0.93	0.95
Naïve Bayes	0.97	1	0.95	0.97	1	0.95	0.95	0.94	0.97
Logistic Regression	0.99	1	0.99	0.99	1	0.99	0.97	0.96	0.99
Decision Trees	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.95	0.93	0.97

Table 5: Performance of classifiers used to differentiate between swallows from healthy people and swallows from patients with neurodegenerative diseases.